

Global Proxy Voting Policy

Key Points

- Barings has established a Proxy Voting Policy to establish the manner in which Barings fulfills its proxy voting responsibilities and complies with applicable regulations.
- Any proxies received by Barings should be forwarded as soon as possible to the Proxy Voting Team for timely processing and voting.
- Barings has a responsibility to oversee any service providers it may engage to facilitate proxy voting on behalf of its clients.

Introduction/ Policy Statement

As an investment adviser or manager, Barings has a fiduciary duty to vote proxies on behalf of its clients (“Clients”). Regulations that apply to Barings, including Rule 206(4)-6 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 applicable to US regulated investment advisers, requires that Barings adopt and implement written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure that proxies are voted in the best interest of its Clients. The policies and procedures must:

- Describe how Barings addresses material conflicts that may arise between Barings' interests and those of its Clients;
- Disclose to Clients how they may obtain information regarding how Barings voted with respect to their securities; and
- Describe to Clients Barings' proxy voting policies and procedures and, upon request, furnish a copy of the policies and procedures.

The purpose of this Global Proxy Voting Policy (“Policy”) is to establish the manner in which Barings will fulfill its proxy voting responsibilities and comply with applicable regulatory requirements. Barings understands that voting proxies is part of its investment advisory and management responsibilities and believes that as a general principle, proxies should be acted upon (voted or abstained) solely in the best interests of its Clients (i.e., in a manner that is most likely to enhance the economic value of the underlying securities held in Client accounts).

No Barings associate (“Associate”), officer, board of managers/directors of Barings or its affiliates (other than those assigned such responsibilities under the Policy) can influence how Barings votes proxies, unless such person has been requested to provide assistance from an authorized investment person or designee (“Proxy Analyst”) or from a member of the Governance and Conflicts Committee (“GCC”) and has disclosed any known Material Conflict, as discussed in the Procedures section below.

It should be noted that exercising rights related to preferred and private equity, equity related to distressed issuers or where Barings is involved in restructuring or refinancing efforts with an issuer are not covered by this Policy. Exercising rights for these types of securities and in these situations is handled by the relevant investment team, as it is Barings belief that they are in the best position to exercise these rights given their access to confidential or material non-public information. Such rights may also be subject to certain contractual or legal obligations that apply to Barings and its Clients.

Requirements

Standard Proxy Procedures

Barings engages a proxy voting service provider (“Service Provider”) responsible for processing and maintaining records of proxy votes. In addition, the Service Provider, a recognized authority on proxy voting and corporate governance, provides research and recommendations (including environmental, social and governance topics) on proxies to Barings as its research provider (“Research Provider”). Barings' policy is to generally vote all Client proxies for which it has proxy voting discretion in accordance with the recommendations of the Research Provider or with the Research Provider's proxy voting guidelines (“Guidelines”), in absence of a recommendation. In circumstances where the Research Provider has not provided a recommendation, the proxy will be analyzed on a case-by-case basis.

Barings recognizes that there may be times when it is in the best interests of Clients to vote proxies: (i) against the Research Provider's recommendations; or (ii) in instances where the Research Provider has not provided a recommendation, against the Guidelines. Barings can vote, in whole or part, against the Research Provider's recommendations or Guidelines as it deems appropriate. Procedures are designed to ensure that votes against the Research Provider's recommendations or Guidelines are made in the best interests of Clients and are not the result of any material conflict of interest ("Material Conflict"). For purposes of this Policy, a Material Conflict is defined as any position, relationship or interest, financial or otherwise, of Barings or Associate that could reasonably be expected to affect the independence or judgment concerning proxy voting.

Review of Service Provider/Research Provider

In determining whether to retain, or continue the retention of, the Service Provider and/or Research Provider Barings should consider, among other things:

- (i) if the Service Provider and/or Research Provider have the capacity and competency to adequately analyze the matters for which Barings is responsible for voting by, for example, reviewing the adequacy and quality of the Service Provider's and/or Research Provider's staffing, personnel, and/or technology;
- (ii) if the Research Provider has an effective process for seeking timely input from issuers and Research Provider clients with respect to such matters as its proxy voting policies, methodologies, and if applicable, its peer group constructions. If peer group comparisons are a component of the Research Provider's substantive evaluation, Barings should consider how the Research Provider incorporates appropriate input in formulating its methodologies and construction of issuer peer groups, and how, in constructing peer groups, the Research Provider takes into account the unique characteristics regarding the issuer, to the extent available, such as the issuer's size; its governance structure; its industry and any particular practices unique to that industry; and its history;
- (iii) whether the Research Provider has adequately disclosed to Barings its methodologies in formulating voting recommendations, such that Barings can understand the factors underlying the Research Provider's voting recommendations. In addition, Barings should consider the nature of any third-party information sources that the Research Provider uses as a basis for its voting recommendations;
- (iv) whether the Research Provider has adequate policies and procedures to identify, disclose, and address actual and potential conflicts of interest, including (1) conflicts relating to the provision of proxy voting recommendations and proxy voting services generally, (2) conflicts relating to activities other than providing proxy voting recommendations and proxy voting services, and (3) conflicts presented by certain affiliations;
- (v) the effectiveness of the Research Provider's firm's policies and procedures for obtaining current and accurate information relevant to matters included in its research and on which it makes voting recommendations. In assessing such matters, Barings should consider: the Research Provider's engagement with issuers, including the firm's process for ensuring that it has complete and accurate information about the issuer and each particular matter, and the firm's process, if any, for investment advisers to access the issuer's views about the firm's voting recommendations in a timely and efficient manner; and
- (vi) Barings should consider requiring the Research Provider to update Barings regarding business changes Barings considers relevant (*i.e.*, with respect to the Research Provider's capacity and competency to provide independent proxy voting advice or carry out voting instructions), and should consider whether the Research Provider appropriately updates its methodologies, guidelines, and voting recommendations on an ongoing basis, including in response to feedback from issuers and their shareholders.

Other Considerations

There could be circumstances where Barings is unable or determines not to vote a proxy on behalf of its Clients. The following is a non-inclusive list of examples whereby Barings may decide not to vote proxies on behalf of its Clients:

- The cost of voting a proxy for a foreign security outweighs the expected benefit to the Client, so long as refraining from voting does not materially harm the Client;

- Barings is not given enough time to process the vote (i.e. receives a meeting notice and proxy from the issuer too late to permit voting);
- Barings may hold shares on a company's record date, but sells them prior to the company's meeting date;
- Barings has outstanding sell orders on a particular security and the decision to refrain from voting may be made in order to facilitate such sale;
- The underlying securities have been lent out pursuant to a security lending program; or
- The company has participated in share blocking, which would prohibit Barings ability to trade or loan shares for a period of time.

Administration of Proxy Voting

Barings has designated the Proxy Voting Team to ensure the responsibilities set forth in this Policy are satisfied.

Handling of Proxies

Proxy statements and ballots are typically routed directly to Barings' proxy voting Service Provider. In the event that an Associate receives a proxy statement or ballot, the Associate should immediately forward the statement or ballot to the Proxy Voting Team who will record receipt of the proxy, route the materials for review, maintain a record of all action taken and process votes.

Voting of Proxies

Typically, Barings will vote all Client proxies for which it has proxy voting discretion, where no Material Conflict exists, in accordance with the Research Provider's recommendation or Guidelines, unless: (i) Barings is unable or determines not to vote a proxy in accordance with the Policy; or (ii) a Proxy Analyst determines that it is in the Clients' best interests to vote against the Research Provider's recommendation or Guidelines. In the event a Proxy Analyst believes a proxy should be voted against the Research Provider's recommendations or Guidelines, the Proxy Voting Team will vote the proxy in accordance with the Proxy Analyst's recommendation so long as (i) no other Proxy Analyst disagrees with such recommendation; and (ii) no known Material Conflict is identified by the Proxy Analyst(s) or the Proxy Voting Team. If a Material Conflict is identified by a Proxy Analyst or the Proxy Voting Team, the proxy will be submitted to the GCC to determine how the proxy is to be voted in order to achieve the Clients' best interests.

Pre-vote communications with proxy-solicitors are prohibited. In the event that a pre-vote communication occurs, it should be reported to the GCC, the relevant Head of Compliance and/or General Counsel prior to voting. Any questions or concerns regarding proxy-solicitor arrangements should be addressed to the relevant Head of Compliance and/or General Counsel, or the respective designees.

Oversight

Compliance will review the Policy at least annually and recommend any necessary changes to Barings' GCC. Compliance or the GCC will be responsible for (ii) approving proxy voting forms as needed; and (iii) reviewing and approving any proposed changes to disclosures. In addition to the above, the Proxy Voting Team will provide materials to the relevant Barings' governance committees or Compliance on the following matters:

- (i) The extent to which potential credible factual errors, potential incompleteness, or potential methodological weaknesses in the Service Provider and or Research Provider (that Barings becomes aware of and deems relevant) are materially affecting the research or recommendations that Barings used or is using in voting;
- (ii) Confirm to Compliance that it believes that Barings is casting votes on behalf of its clients consistently with the Policy. This confirmation will be based on the Proxy Voting Team, at least annually, sampling the proxy votes cast on behalf of its clients. The review will consist of sampling of proxy votes that relate to proposals that may require more issuer-specific analysis (e.g., mergers and acquisition transactions, dissolutions, conversions, or consolidations) and providing the results of this testing to Compliance. Any identified issues will be escalated as necessary to the relevant governance committee;
- (iii) Periodically reviewing the Service Provider's guidelines used in the voting of proxies and notify the GCC of any material changes;
- (iv) Confirm that Barings is casting votes when a conflict of interest exists in compliance with the Policy;

- (v) Escalating any issues relating to proxy voting identified during internal or external audits or assessments or reviews to Compliance and or the relevant governance committee; and
- (vi) In circumstances where either the Proxy Voting Team has not provided a recommendation or has not contemplated an issue within its Guidelines and the proxy is analyzed on a case-by-case basis, or the matter subject to the proxy was contested or highly controversial, considering whether a higher degree of analysis was necessary to assess whether any votes cast on behalf of Barings’ clients were cast in the clients’ best interest will be presented to the GCC.

New Account Procedures

Investment management agreements generally delegate the authority to Barings to vote proxies in accordance with its Policy. In the event that an investment management agreement is silent on proxy voting, Barings should obtain written instructions from the Client as to their voting preference. However, except for those jurisdictions where written explicit delegation is required such as Hong Kong, Taiwan and South Korea, when the Client does not provide written instructions as to their voting preference, Barings will assume proxy voting responsibilities. In the event that a Client makes a written request regarding proxy voting, Barings will vote as instructed.

Required Disclosures and Client Request for Information

Barings will include a summary of this Policy in the Form ADV Part 2A for its US registered investment advisers, as well provide instructions as to how a Client may request a copy of this Policy and/or a record of how Barings voted the Client’s proxies. Requests will be directed to the Proxy Voting Team, who will provide the information to the appropriate client service representative in order to respond to the Client in a timely manner.

Conflict Resolution and Escalation Process

Associates should immediately report any issues they believe are a potential or actual breach of this Policy to their relevant business unit management and to the relevant Chief Compliance Officer (or relevant designee). The relevant Chief Compliance Officer (or relevant designee) will review the matter and determine whether the issue is an actual breach and whether to grant an exception, and/or the appropriate course of action. When making such determination, the relevant Chief Compliance Officer (or relevant designee) may, as part of his/her review, discuss the matter with relevant business unit management, members of the Senior Leadership Team, governance committees or other parties (i.e. legal counsel, auditor, etc.).

The relevant Compliance Department can grant exceptions to any provision of this Policy so long as such exceptions are consistent with the purpose of the Policy and applicable law, are documented and such documentation is retained for the required retention period. Any questions regarding the applicability of this Policy should be directed to the appropriate Compliance Department or the relevant Chief Compliance Officer (or relevant designee).

Books and Records Retained

The table below identifies each record that is required to be retained under this Policy, unless a different retention period is required by local regulations in the relevant jurisdiction. Records may be unique to a jurisdiction or consolidated with those maintained by Barings LLC. Any required record that is required to be retained under applicable Barings Policies, including those produced and/or created in whole or in part by using Generative AI will be retained based on the nature of the data and will be treated like human-created records under the relevant Barings Policy. To ensure proper retention of these records, all business should be conducted by Barings’ Associates using a Barings’ approved corporate device and/or an approved and supported Barings platform (e.g. mail system, social media account, recording technology, storage medium, trading platform, Barings’ supported application, etc.):

Description/ Requirement	Barings Record	Creator	Owner	Retention Period	Source
The Compliance review and any approvals needed by GCC of Policy, proxy	Compliance and GCC meeting materials	Proxy Voting Team and Compliance	GCC Representative	7 Years	Barings Policy Requirement and Investment

Description/ Requirement	Barings Record	Creator	Owner	Retention Period	Source
activity, and approval of Proxy Voting Forms					Advisers Act of 1940, Rule 206(4)-6 Barings Policy requirement for Barings US regulated Advisers
Proxy statements, research, recommendations, and records of votes cast	Proxy Records	Service Provider or Proxy Voting Team	Service Provider or Proxy Voting Team	7 Years	Barings Policy Requirement and Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Rule 206(4)-6 Barings Policy requirement for Barings US regulated Advisers
Proxy Voting Forms (including supporting documentation used in deciding how to vote)	Proxy Voting Forms	Proxy Voting Team and/or Proxy Analyst	Proxy Voting Team	7 Years	Barings Policy Requirement and Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Rule 206(4)-6 Barings Policy requirement for Barings US regulated Advisers
Client written requests for proxy voting information and responses thereto	Client Proxy Requests	Proxy Voting Team	Proxy Voting Team	7 Years	Barings Policy Requirement and Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Rule 206(4)-6 Barings Policy requirement for Barings US regulated Advisers

Description/ Requirement	Barings Record	Creator	Owner	Retention Period	Source
Form N-PX, for proxies voted on behalf of an investment company for which Barings serves as investment adviser and is responsible for making such filing on behalf of its Clients	Form N-PX	Proxy Voting Team	Legal Department	7 Years	Barings Policy requirement and Investment Advisers Act of 1940, Rule 206(4)-6 for Barings US regulated Advisers Rule 30b1-4
The Proxy Voting Policy, associated procedures and any amendments thereto	Proxy Voting Policy	Compliance Department	Compliance Department	7 Years	Barings Policy requirement
A copy of the Research Provider's proxy voting guidelines	Research Provider's Proxy Voting Guidelines	Research Provider	Proxy Voting Team	7 Years	Barings Policy requirement

Original Date of Policy: October 2004 (Barings LLC)

Last Review Date: July 2025

Last Revision Date: August 2023